UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY HONORABLE H. LEE SAROKIN

ROSE D. CIPOLLONE and ANTONIO CIPOLLONE, her husband,

Plaintiffs,

- vs -

LIGGETT GROUP, INC., A
Delaware Corporation, PHILIP
MORRIS, INCORPORATED, A
Virginia Corporation, LOEWS
CORPORATION, A Delaware
Corporation, and LOEWS
THEATRES, INC., A New York
Corporation.

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 83-2864 SA

Property of: Ness, Motley Main PI File Room Charleston, SC

Deposition of DONALD E. MOTT, witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the above-entitled cause, wherein ROSE D. CIPOLLONE and ANTONIO CIPOLLONE are the Plaintiffs and LIGGETT GROUP, INC., ET ALS, are the defendants, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Northern New Jersey, before COLIN J. DUFFY, a Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, on Wednesday, October 30, 1985, at the office of MESSRS. GREENBAUM, ROWE, SMITH, RAVIN, DAVIS & BERGSTEIN, Engelhard Building, Woodbridge, New Jersey, commencing at 10:24 in the forencon pursuant to Notice and pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure in Federal Cases.

Defendants. :

## APPEARANCES:

MESSRS. PORZIO, BROMBERG & NEWMAN BY: CYNTHIA A. WALTERS, ESQ. Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

KNARR - RICHARDS, ASSOCIATES

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS

10 PARK SQUARE MORRISTOWN, N.J. 07960 539-7150

1	,		
2		INDEX	
	WITNESS		DIRECT
3	DOMALD E.	. Mott	
4	Вуз	Ms. Walters	3
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13		EXHIBITS	
	i		
14	Number	Description	Identification
14 15	Number P-1	<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	
		Description	Identification 83
15		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18 19		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18 19 20		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		<u>Description</u> Master list of names of	83

And was that an action in which you were a

Q

1 party? It was similar 2 to this in the sense I had to bring some personnel 3 records and just testify that the records were our 4 records. 5 And those personnel records were personal 6 records of Liggett? Yes. 7 What kind of action was that? 8 I don't really know. 9 Do you know if it involved cigarette liti-Q 10 gation? No. I don't. 11 Q Do you know the name of the action? 12 No. A 13 Do you know when the deposition was? 14 Last spring sometime. It was a former employee 15 and he was, apparently had some squabble with a present 16 employer in Florida some place and I don't know anymore 17 than that because the man that took the deposition didn't 18 know either. He was acting on behalf of some other 19 law firm. 20 What is your present position with Liggett? Q 21 Vice president of Employee Relations. A 22 I had been given some information that 23 your title is vice president and director of Personnel. 24 That's incorrect information? That's 25 incorrect.

]	Mott - Direct 5
1	Q That was not a former title that you had?
2	A I had been vice president of Personnel and I had
3	been director of Personnel.
4	Q But right now you're vice president of
5	Employee Relations? A That's correct.
6	Q How long have you been employed in that
7	capacity, with that title? A With
8	that title?
9	Q Yes. A Since February
10	of this year.
11	Q Could you give me a synopsis of your
12	educational background? A I'm a
13	graduate of the University of Maine, business administra-
14	tion major.
15	Q What year did you graduate?
16	A 1956.
17	Q Have you had any other educational courses,
18	training, degrees? A No degrees,
19	but I've attended a number of training programs over the
20	years.
21	Q Is that in connection with your employment
22	with Liggett? A Yes.
23	Q Just give me the inclusive dates that you've
24	been employed by Liggett in any capacity.
25	A December 27th, 1972 to present.

	MOCE - DIRECT
1	A A variety of places, but the cities were
2	[DELETED]
3	Q Let's start at the beginning. What
4	capacity were you hired by Sealtest? What was your job
5	title? A I was hired in their
6	sales training program and spent about two years in that,
7	in a variety of assignments.
8	Q What were those two years, 1956?
9	A No. '59.
10	Q I'm sorry. A '59
11	and '60.
12	Q Okay. And where did you live during those
13	two years? A In Philadelphia.
14	
15	Q And what did that work in sales training
16	involve? A In sales what?
17	Q Training. A . Oh, sales
18	training. Well, I was a sales trained by title, okay?
19	Q Okay. A I spent about
20	five or six months delivering milk. I spent another five
21	or six months as a white collar salesman and I spent
	about a year as a sales supervisor of milkmen.
22	Q What was your next job with Sealtest?
23	A I became a personnel assistant.
24	Q Is that in 1960?
25	A I, would be, I would guess, around 1961.

Personnel by Breyers in Philadelphia?

1	A Two or three years.
2	Q What was your next job title?
3	A I was a regional personnel manager.
4	Q At Breyers? A They
5	combined milk and ice cream.
6	Q When that was at Breyers?
7	A No. I was physically located in, yes, at Breyers,
8	yes. My office was at Breyers.
9	Q Were you employed by Breyers at that time?
0	A No. I was employed, it was still Sealtest.
11	Breyers is a division of Sealtest, so it's still all
12	Sealtest.
13	Q Ckay. So you were actually not employed
14	specifically by Breyers at that time?
15	A Well, I was, it was the Breyer Division of
16	Sealtest.
17	Q Right. A Okay.
18	But I was still under the Sealtest umbrella.
19	Q How long were you in that capacity?
20	A I'm going to say a year.
21	Q And what was your next job?
22	A The last job I had there was again a region
23	personnel manager which included not only the Philadelphia
24	Baltimore, New York area, but also upstate, New England,
25	New York, upstate New York. It was the same kind of a

	Td
1	in North Carolina? A No.
2	Ω And then in about a year they moved their
3	marketing facilities to North Carolina?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And was the Personnel part of Marketing
6	that you were working in? A Yes.
7	Q And was that substance in the Marketing
8	Department? A Was that what?
9	Q Subsumed within the Marketing Department?
10	A I don't know subsumed.
. 11	Q Well, was there such an entity or division
12	called Marketing Personnel? A I was
13	the director of Marketing Personnel which meant that I
14	provided personnel services to the Marketing and Sales
15	Department.
16	Q Was there a Marketing Personnel Department:
17	A I was it.
18	Q You provided personnel to the Marketing
19	and Sales Department? A That's
20	correct.
21	Q Were the Marketing and Sales Department
22	separate back in 1972? A They
23	reported to the same man.
24	Q Were they considered separate departments?
25	A Yes.
	1

	Mott - Direct
1	Q Were the Marketing and Sales Departments
2	both in New York when you were first hired?
3	A The headquarters of the Sales Department were
5	located in New York as were all of the Marketing Depart-
6	ment, as was all of the Marketing Department.
7	Our Sales organization were spread throughout the country.
8	Q Okay. And just so I'm clear, when you
9	say your job title is director of Marketing Personnel.
10	does the term Marketing also include sales?
11	A Yes.
13	Q Although they were two separate departments
14	the term was used generally to cover both?
15	A That's correct. I reported to the man that was
	responsible for both those departments.
16 17	Q What was his name?
18	A Arthur E. Slote.
19	Q Is he still employed by Liggett?  A No. he's not.
20	Q Do you know, is he still alive?
21	A Yes.
22   23	Q Do you know where he lives?
	A No. I do not.
24 25	Q Do you know when he was last employed by
<u>د</u> ع	Liggett? A No.

Personnel.  A Q And that was in Durham?  A Yes.  And how many years were you direct	etor of
Personnel.  A Q And that was in Durham?  A Yes.  A And how many years were you direct	tor of
Q And that was in Durham?  A Yes.  And how many years were you direct	1976 <b>or</b>
5 A Yes. 6 Q And how many years were you direct	1976 <b>or</b>
6 Q And how many years were you direc	1976 <b>or</b>
Q And how many years were you direc	1976 <b>or</b>
Personnel? A I think in I	luties
so I became vice president of Personnel. The d	
were the same. The titles changed.	
10 Ω So from approximately 1974 to '76	i you were
director of Personnel and from 1976 until today	7·····
A To the beginning of this year when I bec	:ame vice
president, Employee Relations.	
14 What did your job as director of	Personnel
involve? A The normal t	:hinga
that you would think of in Personnel, recruiting	g, training,
safety, job evaluation, position descriptions,	any various
administrative things of that nature.	
Q Was that a broader position than	your
position as director of Marketing Personnel?	
MR. DECKER: Broader in what sens	se?
22 Q Well, broader in the sense that w	ere you
at that point recruiting and training for emplo	
24 beyond those in the Marketing and Sales Departm	
A Yes, I was. Yes. When I became directo	

Yes, though

The

	Mott - Direct
1	know.
2	Q How many staff did you acquire?
3	A I, two or three people. I don't really remember.
4	Q What were their job titles?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q What was their role?
7 8	A They assisted me.
9	Q They worked directly under you?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What were their names?
12	A Andrea Hunt and I don't remember the names of
13	the other ones.
14	Q From 1976 to approximately 1985 when you
15	were vice gresident of Personnel did your job change?
16	A Not basically, no.
17	Q So it was essentially the same job with
18	a higher title? A Yes.
19	Q Did the number of staff that you had under
20	you change? A Yes.
21	Q I assume there were more?
22	A More.
23	Q How many staff people worked with you
24	between 1976 and 1985 in your capacity as vice president
25	of Personnel?  A I don't remember  O But there were more than two or three?
	O But there were more than two or three?

additional pe	ople and	they're	not. Some	of ther	e were
replacements	for peop	le who we	are promoted	i or lei	t the
company.					

A Sure. But by enlarge the answer to your question is the Personnel, as I've explained to you, was a new department.

So there was some turnover?

Q Right. A And we were doing more and more things as people became accustomed to what we could do for them.

Q So the numbers reflect some turnover and some increase? A Yes.

Can you tell me when you say you were doing more and more, what it was that you were doing more of?

A More of the things that I've listed before, recruiting, safety and so forth.

Q Were you performing more functions or just functions on a broader scale?

A More functions and more functions on a broader scale.

A Well, let me explain it to you this way. When you have a department that's been, that's been operating for twenty or thirty years without a personnel professional, they have their own ways of doing things. So when

you start to carve out a niche, you take the first inch and then you do that and then you take the second inch, and over a time you get a foot and over time you get a yard and so forth, and that's what in effect I've been doing since I join ed the company.

Q So you were taking over more and more of the-

Q --responsibility from the individual management? A That's correct.

O Other than recruiting, training, safety, job evaluation, which you already indicated was part of your function as director of Personnel, was there anything else added when you became vice president of Personnel?

A There was some subsequent additions in 1979 where I picked up some administrative responsibilities.

Q And what did that involve?

A The management of such things as our mailroom, our janitors, the factory personnel manager began to report to me at that time, and I also had an employee who wrote our company newspaper.

Q So the company newspaper came under your management? A (Witness nods in the affirmative.)

Q That was in 1979? A Yes.

1	Q Is that part of your office? Are they
2	kept in your office? A Well,
3	they would be more likely kept with Carole Jova. We
4	don't formally keep them, but I'm assuming that there
5	are some back copies.
6	Q Now, in 1985 when your title changed to
7	vice president of Employee Relations, did your job
8	function change? A No.
9	Q Have you added any new staff other than
10	the people that you've already mentioned?
11	A Yes. In 1984 we established in Durham an
12	Employee Benefits Department.
13	Q And you hired staff for that department?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Is that also part of your responsibilities
16 17	the Employee Benefits Department?
18	A Yes.
19	Q I assume there had been employee benefits
20	before 1984? A Yes.
21	Q But there was just no formal department
22	for you? A There was no formal,
23	there was no department at all in the tobacco company.
24	Q Was there a company policy on employee
25	benefits, do you know, for 1984?
	A What do you mean policy?

1	Companywide policy that employees would
2	be given certain benefits on a broad scale.
3	A Yes.
4	And who set the policy with respect to-
5	A The corporation did. The corporation had an
6	Employee Benefits Department that serviced various sub-
7	sidiaries.
8	Q In other words, the Employee Benefits
9	Department existed before 1984. It just was not under
10	
11	
12	was a corporate function.
13	Q But it was or was it a formalized depart-
14	ment? A Yes.
	Q Who was responsible for employee benefits
15	before 1984? A Do you mean who was
16	the benefits manager?
17	Q If there was such a title, yes.
18	A His name was Max Stacey.
19	Q S-t-a-c-e-y? A S-t-a-
20	c-e-y.
21	Q Is he still with the company?
22	A He's still with the corporation, yes.
23	Q And how long was he the Employee Benefits
24	manager? A I don't know.
25	Q Do you know who he reported to?
	II and I am a make the state of the

it's really just a little piece of it, we in Durham were

25

http://legacy.library.ucsf.e&u/tid/ptd@7a00/pdfv.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kghl0001

the initial receipt of medical claims and I had a person on my staff who just made sure that they were completed administratively properly, that they had the receipts and the right forms and then they were sent to Montville, to the Corporate Headquarters.

Q Okay. A That's the

So you would essentially process medical claims and then send them on?

A I don't, I don't agree with the word process.

Q Okay. A We received them and put them in the mail, made sure they were okay and put them in the mail. They processed them.

You acted as a mailman essentially for the broader corporation Grand Met?

A Yes.

Why is it that the employee benefits has moved over to your responsibility as opposed to being handled at a larger corporate scale?

A Because it made more sense to, well, the corporation has indicated that it would like to get out of the tobacco business and put their money in other areas, and so we have been trying to get our companies set up to operate independently.

So there are other functions that are being

	Mott - Direct
1	the benefit programs are identical.
2	Q And the benefit program included pension?
3	A Pension.
4	Q What else, medical?
5	A Medical, life insurance, travel insurance.
6	Q Anything else? A And a
7	profit sharing scheme.
8	Q What does the medical program involve?
9	A Provided reimbursement for certain medical
10	expenses to employees and their dependents.
11	Q Did the company have medical insurance
12	to cover this? A Yes.
13	Q And who was their medical insurer before
14	1984? A It was and is Metropolitan
15	Life.
16	Q Was there ever a period of time that you
17	can recall when they had some other insurance company
18	other than Metropolitan Life Insurance?
19	A No.
20	Q How about life insurance, who was the life
21	insurance company preceding 1984?
22	A The same.
23	© Metropolitan? A Yes.
24	Q Same question, was there ever any period o
25	time that you can recall when the insurance was written

Is that any and all employees?

Do you know who set the policies for that

Yes.

24

thought you said you are responsible for the mailroom as a part of your--Α. That's correct. They report to one of my managers, correct.

And the cigarettes are delivered through the mailroom to the employees?

That's correct.

Then I thought you said you weren't respon-C mible or involved with the delivery of the cigarettes to the employees? Well, I am not.

But are you the manager for that function?

1

http://legacy.library.ucsf.e&u/tid/ptq07/æ00/pdfv.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kghl0001

1	Ω Do you know if any records are kept as to
2	how many cigarettes are given to employees free?
3	A There must be some record, but I don't know just
4	how it's kept.
5	Q Who would keep those records?
6	A I don't know.
7	Q Would that be within your department?
8	A I don't, no.
9	Q Wouldn't records be kept in the mailroom
10	by the mailroom? A I don't think
i 1	so, no.
12	Q Who would have this information if we
13	wanted to find out who kept these records? Who would we
14	go to? A The individual that would
15	know, his name is Jack Medley.
16	Q And what is his title?
17	A Manager of Administrative Services.
18	Q And is his office in Durham?
19	A Yes.
20-	Q Are free cigarettes given to members of
21	employees' families? A No.
22	Q Are any discounts given for purchase of
23	cigarette products? A No.
24	Q Are you involved with setting any policy,
25	rules, regulations regarding the smoking of cigarettes

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

 $\parallel$ 

hiring, recruitment, training of all personnel for Liggett and Myers and Liggett Group?

- A Yes, directly or indirectly.
  - Is there any general recruitment policy?

    MR. DECKER: What did you mean by that?
- policy?

  A There is a written

  policy which states our position with regard to discrimination.
- 2 Is that the only recruitment policy that you can think of that exists that is written?
  A Yes, as far as I know.
- through and tell me a little bit about the structure of
  Liggett and Myers and Liggett Group, the breakdown of the
  departments that exist.

  A There
  are three major departments, an Operations Department,
  Sales and Marketing Department, and a Finance Department,
  and to a lesser extent then there is the Personnel
  Department, Employee Relations Department.
- Q Personnel and Employee Relations are the same?
  A Yes.
- During the time that you've been with

  Liggett since 1972 has this structure remained in tact?

  Are these the same divisions?

  A Same

What are the departments subsumed under

	Mott - Direct 41
1	Sales and Marketing? A Well, in broad
2	terms we have a Marketing Department and a field Sales
3	Department.
4	Q How about Advertising?
5 6	A No. Marketing and Advertising are all under one
7	umbrella.
8	Q How about Finance Department, what depart-
9	ments are subsumed under that? A Well.
10	there are various functions in the Finance Department.
11	I think probably the most obvious breakout is the infer-
12	mation systems where, computers and stuff.
13	Q Anything else come to mind?  A No.
14	Q How about the employee relations?
15	A No.
16	Q That's what you've already described as
17	your own function? A Uh-huh.
18	Q And how about the Legal Department?
19	A That's it.
20	Q Okay. Do you do recruiting and training
21	for the Operations Department? A I have.
22	Q Okay. Do you know if there are any policies
24	for recruitment, and I'm talking about unwritten because
25	you've already testified about written, any unwritten
	policies regarding recruitment of employees in the Operatio

1	Department? A I don't understand
2	what you're asking me.
3	Q Well, are there any kind of guidelines
4	that you use in hiring people for the Operations Depart-
5	ment? A Well, in the broad
6	sense whenever we hire somebody, we have a job requisition
7	which describes what it is we want the, the talent we
8	want to try to find.
9	Who fills out the job requisition?
10	A The hiring supervisor.
11	Q Is that some one that works for you?
12	A No. It's the supervisor that does the hiring
13	for whom the person will ultimately work.
14	Q Okay. So it's the supervisor within the
15	department that needs a new employee?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q And they fill out a requisition and they
18	send it to your department? A That's
19	right.
20	© So essentially you're just looking for
21	somebody that fits the particular needs of a particular
22	person who is looking for somebody at that particular time:
23	A That's right.
24	Q There's no overall broad guidelines with
25	neapect to hiring? A No.

## Mott - Direct

1	Ω Is there any kind of a requirement,
2	formal or informal, that employees be smokers?
3	A No.
4	Q If we wanted to find out the percentage
5	of employees who smoke cigarettes, how would we do that?
6	A I haven't the foggiest idea.
7	Q Is there anybody that would, in the company
8	that would keep records on that sort of thing?
9	A No.
10	C How about as part of the medical benefits
11	program, do employees have to indicate whether or not
12	
13	
14	knowledge.
15	Q The procedure you've described for hiring
16	in the Operations Department, does that hold true for
17	all the other departments? A with
	the exception of the Field Sales Department where the
18	district offices spread around the country do their own
19	hiring.
20	Q How about the Legal Department, do you
21	hire attorneys for the Legal Department?
22	A They would follow the same procedure.
23	© Ckay. Are you basically familiar with the
24	function of each of the departments?
25	A In broad terms.
	H I

Q	Okay.	Do an	y of	the	depar	rtment	s send	YOU
reports, info	rmation	about	what	they	are	doing	, about	ļ
what their fur	ections	are, a	bout	what	is q	going (	on in	
their departme	ints at	any gi	lven t	ime?				

- A That's a very broad question. Can you narrow it down for me?
- Q Well, does the Operations Department, for instance, ever send you any information about their manufacturing process, about what they're doing?
- A Let me give you an example. They would send me the fact that they've changed their hours from 7:00 to 4:00 to 7:30 to 4:30. Is that what you mean?
- Q That would be included in what I asked. That's not what I'm interested in, though.

MR. DECKER: Maybe you can define what--MS. WALTERS: I will. Okay.

- Q Would the Manufacturing Department, for instance, keep you informed of whether there is any change in the type of cigarettes they were manufacturing?

  A No.
- G How about in the type of leaf they were purchasing? A No.
- Q How about with respect to Research and Development, would they keep you informed of any work they're doing in the area of Research and Development?

A No.

Q Would it ever be necessary to your job as personnel manager to know the kind of work they were doing in research and development? A In a broad sense, yes.

Q Tell me what you mean by that.

A If they were looking for a chemist, we'd have to get some idea, well, what are you looking for? What are you going to do? So that when we talk with the applicant we have, answer some very general questions about the questions they might have.

Q So you want to know the nature of the project they might be working on?

A No, it never gets that detailed. It's just a general sense of what kinds of skills? Do you need a BS in chemistry or do you need an MS in chemistry or where is the emphasis, what have you.

Q Have you ever been made aware of any ongoing research projects that were taking place in the Research and Development Department? A I don't understand that question.

Q Well, do you know about any of the research projects that were going on in the Research and Development Department?

MR. DECKER: You mean at any time?

1	Q At any time from 1972 through to today.
2	A Well, I certainly have been aware of their attempts
3	to, as they've worked with our Marketing Department, to
4	develop different cigarettes. I've been aware of those
5	efforts in a general sense.
6	Q How did you become aware of that?
7	A Just through conversations with Research people.
8	Q What was your understanding as to why
9	they were attempting to develop different digarettes?
10	A Trying to meet a perceived consumer need as
11	explained by the marketing people.
12	Q When was this that you became aware of
14	their attempt to develop different cigarettes? Was that
	an ongoing concern or was that some specific
15 16	A I can't pinpoint a specific time. I'm just aware
17	that that has happened over the years.
18	Q That's something that didn't happen once.
19	It was something that you're awars of has happened from
20	time-to-time over the years? A Yes.
21	Q What did you mean by meeting the needs of
22	the consumer? A Well, the Marketing
23	Department in their own, looking in their own crystal
24	ball or whatever they used, determined that they might
25	want a different kind of a cigarette, different kind of a
_0	package, and they asked the Research Department to try to

in a taste panel and who, I got it from hearsay, were

25

involved in smoking our products and evaluating them on some basis.

we had no job category as a taste tester or taste panelist, though.

Q When do you recall that these taste panels were performed or whatever? A I remember early in my beginnings of the company when I scheduled, when I met with some of the research people to get acquainted hearing about a taste panel.

Q was that something that fell within the function of the Research and Development Department?

A Yes.

Q Do you know if that was something that was done through outside consultants or something that was done in-house?

A My understanding is it was done with employees.

Q With employees? A Uh-huh.

Q Do you know what the purpose of the taste panel was?

A To taste the digarettes.

Q For what purpose?

A To see whether they tasted or met the expectations of what the Marketing Department was hoping to, met the taste categories and the aroma that they wanted to obtain.

Q And this was around 1972 or shortly thereafter? A Well, it was, would have been

any of the taste testers.

ľ	Mott - Direct 5
1	To your knowledge do any such documents exist
2	as they are described in this deposition notice?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you want to refer to this?
5	A No.
6	Q Which such documents exist?
7	A Personnel files, medical records and Worker's
8	Compensation files.
9	Q And these all exist as to the people that
10	were on the taste panels? A They
11	are records for all employees and we found the records
12	that, for the people that sat on these panels, yes.
13	Q Do you have those records today?
14	A Yes,
15	MR. DECKER: Can I make just a statement
16	to start with?
17	MS. WALTERS: Sure.
18	MR. DECKER: We have with us here today
19	the files with regard to the individual employee
20	who sat on the taste panels. These are personal
21	files about individual employees and they are
22	treated by the company as being private files.
23	We have files with regard to current employees
24	and with regard to former employees.
25	The company I think, speaking for the

company, feels a fiduciary responsibility to the individual employees to keep their private affairs private. We have not gone and asked their permission to deliver these because we take it that your notice of deposition or, yes, the notice of deposition which was served September 6th demands that they be produced and we have brought them here today.

We do not concede that they are relevant or will lead to the development or discovery of admissible evidence, but they are here and being produced for discovery.

have here. We've made no copies. To the extent that you will need copies down the line I want to make clear that we are going to stamp them confidential because they are the private and personal files of employees. We have not decided whether or not we have to notify those employees if copies are made, but we will have to decide down the line what we do with regard to notifying them of that fact.

Anyway I think that is background which really states how strongly we feel that we want to keep a tight rain over the use of these documents

because they do deal with private lives of other MS. WALTERS: I suppose the first hurdle

is just for, may be to review them and see if we

MS. WALTERS: When we do that, we will all know whether we may or may not have any

MR. DECKER: We have documents here if you

MS. WALTERS: Why don't you produce those documents. Just let me get a general overview

MR. DECKER: Do you want to describe what

THE WITNESS: We have in these two bags

names have been identified, have been given to me by our research people as having served on taste panels at one

In this folder here we have medical records of any of these people who had medical claims for whom we still have records, and here in my hand I have copies of Worker's

Compensation claims, I think in all cases, but too it's strictly the claim that, the claim form that went to the insurance company. And this is the one set of records we did copy. They are five by seven cards which were really a forerunner in a sense of the personnel files which existed in the Research Department.

As I've already testified, there was no Personnel Department. So prior to my arrival there whatever was kept on employees was kept based on whatever the manager thought he ought to keep.

Were these all employees of the Research Department? Yes.

So all employees used in these taste tests were employees of the Research Department?

That's correct.

And there were only fifty employees used total? So, anyway so Yes. that's what we have here.

Okay. A I might just add, and you will see quickly that for some of the employees who left the company years ago the personnel file is a resting place for some memos which may have been written to the bank to deposit checks, et cetera, and in other cases there's salary information and performance appraisals and so forth.

1	Q Have you	a looked through ea	ich of these
2	personnel files?	A	I have not.
3	Q Are you	familiar with any	of these
4	employees?	A Yes.	
5	C Are you	familiar with most	of them?
6	MR. DECI	CER: Familiar in v	what sense?
7	Q Did you	know them?	
8	A Do I know them	personally?	
9	Q Yes.	A	I would say
10	I haven't actually thou	ight of it in those	terms, but I
11	think the answer is cle	early no.	
12	Q Are you	familiar with any	of the Worker
13	Compensation files?	A	I have looke
14	at the Worker's Compens	sation files.	
15	Q Did you	review the cards t	hat you called
16	a, the forerunner of th	e personnel files?	•
17	A No.		,
18	Q Do you k	now whether any of	the fifty
19	employees that particip	pated in the taste	tests filed a
20	medical claim and/or Wo	rker's Compensatio	n claim based
21	on, no, strike that, ju	st filed a medical	claim, and let
22	me restart the question	over.	
23		ther any of the fi	fty employees
24	whose files you have he	re today filed any	medical claim
25	hand on a document to	tonad on materials	15

ment less than seven years ago or current employees, where

would their medical records be on file?

1

2

3

http://legacy.library.ucsf.e&u/tid/ptd@7æ00/pdfv.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kghl0001

smoke, nor did we like the ashes and the dirt and, that comes with it and raising small children, we thought that was inappropriate.

Q What was that? I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last answer.

Could you read the last part of the response back.

(At this time the following was read back by
the reporter as follows: "AMSWER: Yes. My
wife and I both smoked and at the time the
children were growing up we decided we'd both
quit. We felt it was, we didn't like the smell
of the cigarette smoke, nor did we like the ashes
and the dirt and, that comes with it and raising
small children, we thought that was inappropriate.")

- Q What was inappropriate with respect to small children?

  A If you watch a child pull over an ashtray full of cigarettes and ashes on your rug, it's distasteful.
- O Okny. Did any medical doctor ever tell you that you should not smoke?

  A No.
- Q Did any other person ever tell you that you should not smoke? A Not that I recall.
- Did anyone ever tell you that cigarettes were bad for your health?

  A well,

	Mott - Direct 61	
1	yes.	
2	C Who was that? A I don't,	
3	I don't know. I can't give you a specific.	
4	C How old were you at that point?	
5	A I don't know.	
6	Q Was that while you were smoking?	
7 8	A I don't remember a specific incident, so I can't	
9	answer that question.	
10	Q Going back to your high school and college	
11	years, do you recall being aware from any source that	
12	cigarette smoking might be associated with health problems:	?
13	A No.	
14	You were never aware of that?	
15	A (Witness nods in the negative.)	
16	Ω How about today, are you aware of any	
17	information that cigarette smoking may be associated with	
18	health problems? A Yes.	
19	When did you first become aware of that	
20	information? A I guess about the time	
21	that the Surgeon General published his report and made	
22	tobacco companies start to put labels on packages, warning	
23	notices on packages.	
24	Q So 1964 is the first time you became aware	
25	cigarette smoking A If that's when the date was, yes.	
	this the destallation is a second of the sec	

11	
1	© Did you ever receive any information from
2	Liggett and Myers regarding smoking and health?
3	A No. ma am.
4	Q When you were a young man did you ever
5	hear the term coughing sticks?
6	A Hear the name what?
7	Coffin nails, the term coffin nails?
8	A Yes.
9	Q You did. A Yes.
10	Q How about cancer sticks?
11	A Yes.
12	C What did those terms mean to you?
13	A Some people thought smoking was bad for you.
14	Q And what did you think?
15	A I think the fact that I smoked did not, meant that
16	I didn't consider their opinion valid,
17	Q Other than those terms you had never, was
18	there any other information that you had ever received
19	that smoking might not be good for your health?
20	A Wo.
21	MR. PARRISH: You mean other than what he's
22	already testified to?
23	MS. WALTERS: The only thing he's testified
24	to in those early years is the two terms.
25	

1 understand your question to be--2 MS. WALTERS: Right. That's what I meant. 3 My question was limited to the early period, 4 because he's already testified about knowledge 5 in the later period. 6 MR. PARRISH: That's why I asked. 7 MS. WALTERS: Okay. 8 Did you ever attend any conferences on Q 9 smoking or health or in which the subject of smoking and 10 health was raised? No. 11 Any seminars? Α No. Q 12 On that subject? 13 A No. 14 Do you have an opinion today as to whether Q 15 or not cigarette amoking is or is not hazmful to health? 16 MR. DECKER: I object to this line of 17 testimony. This man is not dealing with the 18 company with regard to its policy or position on 19 smoking and health. He is in the Personnel 20 Department. He, this is way beyond his scope of 21 duties in the company and it seems to me that this 22 line of questioning can cease. I instruct him not 23 to answer. 24 MS. WALTERS: Just for the record, these 25 are precisely the questions that are posed by

1	attorneys for your client at depositions of non-
2	party witnesses. So that
3	MR. PARRISH: Who know the decedent or
4	smoker.
5	MS. WALTERS: I don't think that makes
6	much difference.
7	MR. DECKER: We instructed Mr. Dey not
8	to answer at one point along the line. It seems
9	to me that I've gone as far and beyond what that
10	point was and Judge Cowen at a hearing on the
11	matter concluded that it was appropriate to cut
12	it off at that point.
13	BY MS. WALTERS:
14	Q Mr. Mott, are you refusing to answer that
15	question? A Yes, I am.
16 17	Q On the advice of counsel?
18	A I am.
19	Q Ckay. Mr. Mott, who do you report to?
20	A K. V. Dey.
21	Q Are you member of the board of directors?
22	A Yes.
23	₩ How long have you been a member of the
24	board of directors? A I would say
25	1979.
	Q Who sets the policy within Liggett regarding

If I were to tell you that other representa-

tives of Liggett, including the chief executive officer.

have testified that Liggett does have what they referred

to as a position or a policy, would you disagree with that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	l
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	-
21	
22	
23	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	

λ	I guess	I'd have	to have	described	to me	what
the	position or	policy i	3.			

described, that digarette smoking has not been established as causing lung cancer.

A I have heard our chief executive officer, Mr. Dey, make those comments, and I've heard other people on the board make smiliar comments. I'm not aware that we have published a position paper, if you will, or stated a written policy that that is the case.

Q I'm not talking about a written position or a written policy. I'm talking just about a policy or position, period. A Well, unless it is, well, all right. There has, I still stand by my answer. There's been no Liggett and Myers says type of thing. K. V. Dey has been quoted, as have others.

Q But this is not something that has come up as a policy statement or position, per se, discussed at board meetings, is that correct, since you've been a member?

A Yes. That's correct.

Me have a different structure now. We have a management committee that meets monthly and we have an executive committee which meets more fraquently than that and the executive committee is the

principal decision makers in the company, whereas the management committee is more of an operating committee.

committees?

A I am on the management committee.

Is there also a meeting of everybody together, both committees?

A Yes.

The executive committee attends when the management committee meets, if possible.

Q Does the executive committee report back to the board as a whole? A No.

Q Does the management committee report back to the board as a whole?

A No.

The boards never sit as a board.

Q So the board only sits in these two committees?

A The executive committee are the policy makers and, to my knowledge, you know, in recent years the board has never met as a board, per se. People who are on the board are participants in the overall management and executive committee.

Q Is there a head of each department that reports back to the board of directors?

A No. Well, let me, the board is more of a formal, when resolutions have to be passed to the board that somehow affect the company, the members of the board of

directors would sign off on it and there are people in the tobacco company and there are people in the parent corporation that sign off.

But in terms of the management of the company, the management of the company is principal, lies principally with the executive committee. Members of the management committee are made up of department heads.

departments then report directly to the executive

committee?

A They report to their,

for instance, the Operations Department is made up of

three or four subdepartments as we discussed earlier.

Those people report to the vice president for Operations

who is on the executive committee. They do not report

to the committee per se. They report to the individual

on the committee.

Q And then does the individual on the committee who is the head of the department I would assume, report back to the board as a whole about what's going on within his department?

A To the board as a whole?

Q Right. A No.

I've already told you the board never meets.

Q Right. How about to the executive committee as a whole?

X Yes. They participate

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

together around the table.

Development, does the head of Research and Development report back to somebody, some committee as to what's going on in Research and Development at any given point?

A It reports to his boss who sits on the executive committee.

Q And does his boss tell the rest of the executive committee what is going on in Research and Development? A Could, yes.

- Q But is not required to?
- A Not required to.
  - Q The same thing is-

A I would say to further expand, that he would report those things which are germaine. Obviously there are lots of things that happen in all of the departments, 90 percent of which is of no interest to the board at all.

O The same thing holds true with the Sales
Department? A Yes.

Q And Marketing? A Yes.

That's one and the same department.

G Sales and Marketing. So there is no formalized reporting back procedure. It is merely the department heads that sit on the executive committee that will
bring to the attention of others anything that they feel

Do you know why that is being done?

The feeling was that they could do, make cigarettes

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cheaper.

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 /

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Q i	And is	that	information	that you	have
1	+ h-rouse h	****	odina -	the board of	director	a meeting

A No.

Q So no information regarding what's going on in Research and Development then has been made known to you through the board of directors meetings?

A I can't, I can't say no information, but it would be information of a general kind, turnover of employees, that sort of thing.

Q But nothing having to do with any of their research projects? A No.

Q How about advertising?

A How about it.

Any information about advertising, has that been made known to you through your position on the board of directors since 1979?

A I would say the, that we have had reviewed marketing plans which may include some advertising.

Q Have those been written plans?

A No.

Q When were those plans reviewed that you're talking about? What year was that?

Each year we review a marketing plan.

Q Is that once annually?

1	· A	7. Walter Thompson.
2	Ç	Anybody else? A No.
3	Ç	How about Newell Emmet Advertising Agency?
4	A	(Witness nods in the negative.)
5	Ç	Cunningham Walsh?
6	A 3	Ces.
7	(	McCann Ericksen?
8	. A 3	Res.
9	C	Denzer Pitzgerald?
10	A b	io.
11	C	Needham, Harper & Steers?
12	A Y	les.
13	C	Compton Advertising?
14	A	(Witness nods in the negative.)
15	Ç	North Advertising Company?
16	Α (	(Witness nods in the negative.)
17	Ç	Earl Grissman Company?
18	A 3	I'm familiar with, no.
19	C	Do you have any knowledge since sitting
20	on the bo	pard of directors about any of, about whether or
21	not there	are any lobbying efforts made on behalf of
22	Liggett?	A Lobbying in what
23	sense?	
24	Ç	Lobbying for or against bills in Congress?
25	A	Yas.
	iii	I

Q	What	is that	:? Is t	here 1	obbying	activity
on behalf	of Ligget	t?			A	Through
the Topace	co Institu	te there	e is som	e lobb	ying an	d the
isaues, y	ou know, w	orkplace	e issues	and s	ampling	issues.

Do you know whether there is any lobbying performed since 1979 to attack the Government's position on smoking and health?

MR. PARRISH: I object to the form of the question.

MR. DECKER: I object also to the form of the question. Could you rephrase it?

MS. WALTERS: Would you read it back? What's wrong with it?

MR. DECKER: I think it assumes an awful lot of things. He would have to them answer including that assumption.

MS. WALTERS: Read it back.

(At this time the following was read back by the reporter as follows: "QUESTION: Do you know whether there is any lobbying performed since 1979 to attack the Government's position on smoking and health?")

Liggett has itself been involved or had anybody on its behalf involved in lobbying activities since 1979 which

has been directed at an attack of the Government's position on smoking and health?

MR. PARRISH: I object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't understand the ques-

Q Okay. You've already indicated that you're aware of some lobbying activities on behalf of Liggett and other companies through the Tobacco Institute.

## A Correct.

Q And you said that, I believe, that it was, your knowledge was limited to basically workplace issues.

A Yes.

Now I'm asking you whether you recall any lobbying efforts by Liggett or by anybody on its behalf with the purpose of attacking the Government position on smoking and health?

MR. NAAR: What is the Government's position on smoking and health? I don't know that there is such an animal.

MS. WALTERS: Well, then the Government's position that smoking is related to health problems.

MR. PARRISH: I object to the form of the question.

MR. NAAR: I'm not aware of any Government

202122232425

19

position that that is in fact the case.

THE WITNESS: I don't know how to respond to that question.

Do you know whether any money, do you know whether the Tobacco Institute is currently or has lobbied since 1979 in any way on the issue of smoking and health?

A It has lobbied on, to curtail the antismoking legislation as it relates to workplace, public accommodation, sampling, that sort of thing.

MR. PARRISH: Can I just get a clarification. When you use the term "smoking and health," are you using that in the sense that it has been used throughout this case, namely, lung cancer and addiction, or are you using it in some other way?

MS. WALTERS: I'm using it the way it's been used throughout all the depositions that have taken place in this case.

MR. PARRISH: So lung cancer and addiction?
MS. WALTERS: Yes.

MR. PARRISH: If we're limiting to lung cancer, is that what it's been limited to throughout this case? That's my question, I guess, is what you mean when you say smoking and health.

I know that--

MS. WALFERS: I don't want to limit it that way.

MR. DECKER: Well, Judge Cowen has limited the discovery in this case to lung cancer and addiction I believe in that case.

MS. WALFERS: Well, then obviously the question has to be limited that way.

g So the question is limited to lung cancer and health. Go shead. I think you were in the middle of a response.
A No. I think
I finished it.

MS. WALTERS: Could you read back his response?

MR. DECKER: And the question, please.

(At this time the following was read back by the reporter as follows: "QUESTION: Do you know whether any money, do you know whether the Tobacco Institute is currently or has lobbied since 1979 in any way on the issue of smoking and health?

ANSWER: It has lobbied on, to curtail the anti smoking legislation as it relates to workplace, public accommodation, sampling, that sort of thing.")

Q That's the extent of your knowledge?
Yes.

	Nott - Direct 78
1	Q Is that knowledge something that you've
2	acquired through your membership and the board of director
3	A No.
4	Q How did you acquire that information?
5	A I'm on a committee with the Tobacco Institute.
6	Q What committee are you on?
7	A State Activities Policy Committee.
8	Q And what is that committee?
9	A It's a committee that assists the lobbyists in
10	this kind of, combatting this kind of legislation.
11	Q Do you know why they are combatting that
12	kind of legislation? A Do I know why?
13	Ask me again.
14	Q Tell me why they are lobbying against that
15	kind of legislation. A They think
16	it's unfair.
17	Q Why? A Because it's
18	government interference in our right to sell our product.
19	Q And that's the sole reason?
20	A Uh-huh.
21	Q Are you on any other committees of the
22	Tobacco Institute? A No.
23	Q Do you hold any other position with the
24	Tobacco Institute? A No.
25	Q Are you a member of the Tobacco Merchants

1	Association?	A	No.		
2	Q	Are you a member of		msel for	•
3	Tobacco Resear	_	λ	No.	
4	Q	Do you know anything	, about	their w	ork?
5	A No.				
6	Ω	Do you know anything	about	the work	c, the
7	consulting wor	k of Arthur D. Little	with I	iggett?	
8	A No.				
9	Q	Do you know if Arthu	r D. Li	ttle sti	111
10	consults with	Liggett?		A	I do not.
11	Q	Do you know who Sam	White i	<b>s</b> ?	:
12	A Yes.				
13	Q	Who is he?		A	I met
14	him in my earl;	y employment and I th	ink he	was invo	olved in
15	the marketing.				
16	Q	Do you know if he's	still w	ith Ligg	jett?
17 18	A He's no	ot.	•	•	
19	Q	Do you know where he	is?		
20	A No.				
21	Q	Do you know a Mr. Sa	bater,	- <b>s-d-s-</b> 3	t-e-r?
22	A No.				
23	Q	Do you know a J. R.	Locke,	L-0-c-k-	•3
24	A What's	his first name?			
25	ō	I'm not sure. J. R			
	A I know	a John Locke.			

Mott -	Direct
--------	--------

- 1	
1	working with equipment and so forth.
2	Q Is there a doctor on staff?
3	A No.
4	Q Who staffs the dispensary?
5	A We have three registered nurses.
6	Q Has there ever been a doctor on staff at
7	Liggett? A No, not since my time.
8	Q Does Liggett have annual physical exams
9	of its employees? A We have for
10	certain executives.
11	Q Which executives?
12	A Executives who are paid at a certain level.
13	Q The higher level executives?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Who does the physical exams currently?
16	A It's at the option of the employee.
17	Q You mean the employee selects their own
18	physician? A We have, let me qualify
19	the answer. We have a, an arrangement with a, a clinic
20	at Duke who does physicals for those that choose to go
21	to that clinic and then for other employees who choose
22	to go with their own doctors. So they can do either or,
23	Q Who is responsible for the annual physical
24	exam program? A I am.
25	Q Did you review the medical reports?

1	A No. We do not review any report from the doctor
2	Q You just essentially pay for the exam?
3	A The purpose of the exam is to provide to the
4	employee a snapshot of his or her health and they then
5	are free to do with the information as they wish. We do
6	not get a copy of the report.
7	Q Why don't you get a copy of the report?
8	A Just have never felt it's necessary. This is a
9	personal matter. We're trying to encourage employees to
10	take care of themselves.
11	MS. WALTERS: I have nothing more except
12	to go through the documents and have them marked
14	MR. DECKER: I'm not sure how, these are
15	working files, ongoing files. I'm not sure
16	exactly what it is we want to do or how we want
17	to mark anything here. This is a file for a
18	particular employee and, as I said before, we
19	have not made copies of these down the line. The
20	is the ongoing file that the employee has down
21	the line. I don't know how you would want to have
22	these marked or whatever.
23	MS. WALTERS: Why don't I take a look
24	through them and then figure it out.
25	MR. DECKER: Okay.
	MS. WALTERS: Is there a master list here

of the names of the employees?

MR. DECKER: Yes.

MS. WALTERS: Why don't we have that marked.

(Master list of names of employees files produced is received and marked as exhibit P-1 for identification.

At this time a short recess was taken.)

MS. WALTERS: I've taken a quick look at the documents that have been produced today and everybody is agreed that Mr. Nott will be kind enough to leave these records here for the next week or so and that we will get a paralegal down here to take a look through them and see what, if anything, we need to have duplicated, and aside from that the deposition is over.

MR. DECKER: That's fine.

	<b>84</b>
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY HONORABLE H. LEE SAROKIN
3	
4	ROSE D. CIPOLLONE and ANTONIO CIPOLLONE, her
-11	husband, Plaintiffs,
5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
6	_ vs _ CERTIFICATE
7	LIGGETT GROUP, INC., A
	Delaware Corporation, et als, 3
8	Defendants. :
9	I. COLIN J. DUFFY, a Notary Public of the
10	State of New Jersey, duly commissioned and qualified therein do hereby certify that, on the 30th day of
11	October, 1985, there came before me at Woodbridge, New Jersey, the following named person, to wit,
12	DONALD E. MOTT, who was by me duly sworn to testify to
13	the truth and nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and concerning the facts in controversy in
14	this case; that he was thereupon carefully examined upon his cath and his examination reduced to typewriting
15	under my supervision; that the deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.
16	I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the
17	parties in the action in which this deposition was taken and further that I am not a relative or employee of any
18	attorney or counsel in this case, nor am I financially interested in the action.
19	In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand
20	and affixed my notarial seal this Joth day of November, 1985.
21	1965.
22	A Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
23	A Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
24	
25	My Commission expires on Management 1990
	u 1